



M.Kutyłowski

Security Technologies for Voting Processes

Mirosław Kutyłowski

Wrocław University of Technology

Warsaw 2013



Impact of electronic processing on voting processes

- direct and indirect costs
- correctness
- efficiency

The impact might be both positive and negative

- e.g. spending any amount of money on software verification does not guarantee that it is secure
- on the other hand one can design a system so that any fraud by the computer will be detected



Homomorphic encryption

M.Kutyłowski

main properties

- anybody can encrypt - no secret key necessary
- decryption requires secret key or keys
- **one can add encrypted numbers by multiplying the ciphertexts**

$$Enc_{key}(k_1) \cdot Enc_{key}(k_2) = E_{key}(k_1 + k_2)$$



Application of homomorphic encryption

M. Kutyłowski

- 1 local election authorities A , B , C compute the number of votes k_A , k_B , k_C in their constituency
- 2 ... and encrypt them getting, respectively $E(k_A)$, $E(k_B)$, $E(k_C)$
- 3 ... and then publish the ciphertexts
- 4 the ciphertexts get multiplied, the result e equals

$$E(k_A) \cdot E(k_B) \cdot E(k_C) = E(k_A + k_B + k_C)$$

- 5 central voting authority decrypts e and publishes the voting result $k_1 + k_2 + k_3$



Application of homomorphic encryption

M.Kutyłowski

1. k_A, k_B, k_C
2. $E(k_A), E(k_B), E(k_C)$
3. multiplication
4. decryption
5. result $k_A + k_B + k_C$

Advantages and consequences

- 1 it is not necessary to publish k_A, k_B, k_C
- 2 useful if the number of voters small and revealing k_A, k_B, k_C might endanger voter's privacy
- 3 anybody can check correctness of multiplication result
- 4 decryption process may be performed so that its correctness can be checked without the private key



Anonymous voter's identification

M.Kutyłowski

Goals of identification

- only a voter admitted to cast a vote
- no voter can cast a valid vote twice

problem: lack of participation is sometimes also a way of casting a vote

identification and recording voters on a list breaks voters' privacy

...but can we do anything about it?



Restricted identification

M.Kutyłowski

new techniques for electronic identity documents

- 1 a smartcard holds a single secret key
- 2 card authentication proves that this is a valid id card of a citizen
 - an undeniable cryptographic proof presented
- 3 the card may generate only one anonymous password for a concrete election
 - one cannot vote twice
- 4 vote selling would require borrowing personal id card
- 5 one cannot link the passwords from different elections



Defense against computers

M.Kutyłowski

a computer can be hacked and reveal some information

- can we fully protect computers? no!
- is it a hopeless situation? no!

idea of a protocol

how to encrypt a number x so that a computer does not know what is encrypted?

- choose y at random
- compute $z = x + y$ manually
- encrypt z on the computer and send the ciphertext per internet
- make a call and tell y (no VoIP!)



Conclusions

- for many problems we cannot provide a satisfactory solutions
- ... but in some cases we may achieve a lot at a surprisingly low price



Thanks for your attention!

Contact data

- 1 `Mirosław.Kutyłowski@pwr.wroc.pl`
- 2 `http://kutyłowski.im.pwr.wroc.pl`
- 3 `+48 71 3202109, +48 71 3202105`
fax: `+48 71 3202105`